

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

QUESTION 1	YES	NO	NA
The horse stall barns are uses primarily in the summer. Do you wish to have the new barns designed as a multi-use structure for use by the community during the winter months?	382	144	41

1. NEED TO MOVE BARNs TO A 21ST CENTURY HORSE FACILITY
2. THEY COULD SPARE A ROW FOR HORSES
3. NOT ANOTHER CONVENTION SITE!
4. DON'T TAKE AWAY QUIANTNESS OF SINGLE STALLS
5. AS A YOUNG COUPLE IN ESTES IT WOULD BE NICE TO BRING PEOPLE TOGETHER IN THE WINTER
6. AVAILABLE TO ALL FOR A FEE
7. STOP SPENDING MONEY ON UNNEEDED AND UNWANTED PROJECTS
8. MY CABIN IS IN GLEN HAVEN AND IAM RARELY IN ESTES IN THE WINTER MONTHS
9. NO OPINION REALLY
10. MAKES SENSE WINTER IS LONGER THEN SUMMER
11. WE'RE NOT IN ESTES IN WINTER VERY MUCH
12. IF THERE ARE POTENTIAL USES
13. LET US HAVE A MULTI PURPOSE FACILITY
14. IT ONLY MAKES SENSE
15. BOAT AND TRAILER STORAGE WOULD BE GREAT IN THE WINTER
16. WE DON'T SPEND TIME IN ESTES IN THE WINTER
17. NINE MONTH STORAGE FOR CARS
18. DON'T REALLY CARE
19. I THINK IT WOULD BE USED FOR MNAY PURPOSES
20. SCRAP THE ART CENTER! BUILD BOTH BARNs LOCALS SHOULD NOT BE SHUT OUT IN THE SUMMER YEAR ROUND USE FOR ALL.
21. THIS VENUE WOULD POPULAR YEAR ROUND

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

22. WE ALREADY DON'T USE THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
23. WHAT WOULD SUMMER ONLY STALL BARNS COSTS? YOU HAVENT PROVIDED A FAIR INFORMED CHOICE
24. ONLY IF COSTS ARE COVERED BY USERS
25. SOUNDS LIKE A GOOD IDEA
26. YOU MIGHT KEEP OLD BUILDINGS ALSO
27. ONLY IF IT DOESN'T COMPROMISE THE HORSE USAGE
28. WITH COST OF GAS AND FEED HORSE SHOWS WILL DECLINE
29. 3.5 MILLION REFLECTS COSTS FOR MULTI PURPOSE BUILDINGS STALLS WONT COST THAT MUCH
30. GREAT IDEA
31. LINGERING SMELL IN HORSE BARNS
32. THE DESIGN SHOULD BE FOCUSED FOR ITS PRIMARY USE NOT A SHOW PIECE THAT TRIES TO BE ALL THING TO ALL PEOPLE
33. THE ESTES VALLEY IS EXPECTED TO GROW SIGNIFICANTLY DURING THE NEXT TEN YEARS
34. I WOULD LOVE TO BE ABLE TO PLAY TENNIS IN THE WINTER I KNOW MANY PEOPLE WOULD PLAY HOCKEY
35. DO SO THIS CAN EXTEND USE OF ALL FACILITIES-THIS IS 08!
36. WE DON'T USE SUCH FACILITIES AS DESCRIBED AND NEVER WILL
37. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE STABLES RENTED OUT WHEN NOT IN USE TO VISITORS OR LOCAL HORSES. HORSE RIDING IN THE PARK WOULD BE A GREAT ATTRACTION FEW KNOW THAT YOU CAN BRING YOUR OWN HORSES AND RIDE RMNP
38. DON'T REDO THEM
39. MAYBE DO WE HAVE ANYTHING IN THE WINTER?
40. ONLY IF THERE IS THERE IS REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS OF USE DURING WINTER
41. SUMMER VISITOR TO OUR CABIN WOULD NOT UTILIZE DURING WINTER
42. AVAILABLE USAGE ABOUT NINE MONTHS !
43. NEVER USE
44. IF THEY CAN BE DESIGNED WELL ENOUGH FOR MULTI USE THAT MAKES THE MOST SENSE ECONOMICALLY
45. DO YOU PLAN TO USE THIS FOR RECREATION? WHAT IS THE PURPOSE?
46. DEFINITELY

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

47. IF COST NOT OUF LINE
48. IF ECONOMICALLY FEASIBLE
49. ANYTHING FOR WINTER IS A PLUS!
50. HORSE USE IS MOST IMPORTANT
51. BARNS MOST IMPORTANT
52. DON'T KNOW WHAT A MULTI-USE WOULD BE FOR A STALL BARN
53. VARIETY OF USES
54. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THIS MEANS (MULTI-USE)
55. THIS SEEMS SENSIBLE BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE DEMAND CONSISTS OF
56. NOT HERE IN WINTER
57. STENCH ISSUE?
58. I AM A SUMMER RESIDENT
59. ANYTHING THAT WILL MAKE GOOD USE OF ASSESTS
60. INDOOR ACTIVITIES NEEDED ESPECIALLY FOR YOUTH
61. AN INDOOR RIDING ARENA WOULD BE EXCELLENT
62. TEMP COMTROLLED WITH PLUMBING
63. HOW ABOUT A YEAR ROUND ICE SPORTS COMPLEX
64. ITS NOT APPLES TO APPLES THE COST FOR YEAR ROUND USE WOULD BE MUCH MORE
65. TOO COSTLY TO DO OTHERWISE
66. GENRATE INCOME ALL YEAR
67. AS A SUMMER RESIDENT THIS WOULD NOT BENEFIT ME
68. TOO COSTLY FOR MINIMAL USE
69. YOU CANT PAY FOR WHAT YOU'VE GOT NOW
70. IF THERE WOULD BE ENOUGH USE TO JUSTIFY THE EXPENDITURES
71. NO OPINION REALLY
72. TRIED TO USE THEM IN THE WINTER BUT MANAGEMENT WOULDN'T USE THEM TO STORE CARS
73. IF IT CAN BE DESIGNED INTO A USABLE SPACE
74. NO OPINION REALLY
75. A YEAR ROUND FACILITY IS GOOD
76. ITS CHEAPER TO BUILD MULTI-USE STRUCTURES NOW THAN TO CHANGE THEM LATER

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

77. GOOD IDEA
78. IN THIS DAY AND AGE WE MUST HAVE MULTI-USE FACILITIES
79. I AM 82 YOA WLAKER AND OXYGEN 24/7 PATIENT SO CANT USE THIS AREA BUT IF IT WOULD IMPROVE LIFE, YES
80. WE NEED A COMMUNITY REC CENTER
81. APPLY FOR A GRANT
82. LITTLE USE FOR FEW
83. NO NEW BARNS
84. NO NEW BARNS
85. NO NEWBARNS
86. HAS THERE BEEN A LARGE DEMAND FOR WINTERIZED BARNS?
87. HORSE DO NOT CARE IF BARNS ARE OLD
88. IT DPENDS ON THE DESIGN AND COULD SKETCH SOME CHOICES FOR DESIGN AND COST?
89. CURLING IS AN EXCXELLENT WELL LIKED SPORT FOR ALL AGES
90. WHY NOT MAKE IT WORTH THE MONEY SPENT
91. LOWER TAXES INSTEAD
92. WITH A PERMENENT WALK/RUN TRACK
93. FAMILIES ESPECIALLY THOSE WITH YOUNG CHILDREN HAVE A DIFFICULT TIME FINDING ACTIVITIES TO DO IN THE WINTER MONTHS
94. DEPENDING ON COSTS DIFFERENTIAL
95. DEPENDING ON COST DIFFERENTIAL
96. NEED TO KNOW HOW MUCH DEMAND THERE WOULD BE FOR WINTER USE
97. NEED TO KNOW HOW MUCH DEMAND THERE WOULD BE FOR WINTER USE
98. I DON'T THINK THIS WOULD BE PRACTICAL
99. do not know
100. like what other possible uses? And would this be more costly to build.
101. the residents in this community needs a local facility instead of driving to the valley. Also, this facility may attract more families and winter tourists.
102. HORSE STALLS ARE FOR HORSES-COMMUNITY CENTER IS FOR PEOPLE
103. TO THE EXTENT THE COST DOESN'T OUT WEIGH THE BENEFIT
104. IT WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE TO HAVE A MULTI-USE STRUCTURE, THOUGH IM NOT SURE HOW THAT WOULD BE ACCOMPISHED

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

105. YEAR ROUND FOR A TOWM THAT IS BECOMING MORE YEAR ROUND

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

QUESTION 2	A	B	C	D	E	F	NONE
What indoor activities would you use during the winter months?	Ice Skating	Tennis courts	Walking Track	Indoor Shows	Playground Equipment	Other Uses	
	240	145	264	272	132	54	153

COMMENTS

1. BASKETBALL, VOLLEYBALL, INDOOR SOCCER ETC..
2. LECTURES, SHOWS, GARAGE SALES, EXERCISE EQUIPMENT FOR ADULTS ONLY
3. INDOOR SOCCER
4. NONE
5. NONE
6. HOW ABOUT CLIMBING WALLS FOR THE CLIMBERS. IF YOU WANT TO PLAY TENNIS WHY DON'T YOU MOVE TO FLORIDA!
7. ALL ACTIVITIES FOR A SMALL FEE
8. NONE
9. FESTIVALS
10. BASKETBALL, VOLLEYBALL, INDOOR SOCCER ETC..
11. DOUBT WOULD USE MUCH
12. INDOOR EXERCISE EQUIPMENT
13. ART SHOWS
14. HOCKEY, DOG SHOWS ETC...
15. I DON'T COME TO ESTES REGRETABLELLY
16. NONE
17. DOG SLED PULLS AND OTHER WINTER EVENTS
18. WE ALREADY DON'T USE THE EXISTING SKATING RINK
19. SALT WATER SWIMMING POOL GREAT FOR AGING POPULATION AND NO NASTY CHLORINE
20. NONE
21. FLEA MARKETSS, ANTIQUE SHOWS ETC...
22. TRADE SHOWS
23. PLACE TO HOLD VARIOUS CRAFT WORKSHOPS

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

24. NONE
25. CONVENTION
26. NONE
27. I FAVOR ALL SUGGESTED USES EVEN IF I WOULDN'T USE THEM
28. FARMERS MARKET, LIBRARY USED BOOK SALE
29. CLASSES, PILATES, EXERCISING
30. INDOOR RIDING ARENA
31. COMMUNITY YARD SALE , COMMUNITY SHREDDER TO PROTECT ID FROM IDENTITY THEFT
32. PING PONG
33. NONE LEAVE THE FAIRGROUNDS ALONE
34. DOG TRAINING OBEDIENCE, RALLY, FLYBALL, AGILITY
35. SCHOOL USE
36. ESTES VALLEY SHOULD NOT AND DOES NOT NEED AN INDOOR CONVENTION CENTER
37. ESTES NEEDS A INDOOR FACILITY TO ATTRACT WINTER BUSINESS
38. ALL OF THESE CAN BE DONE OUTSIDE IN ESTES WITHOUT HAVING TO SPEND 3.5 MILLION DOLLARS
39. WHAT ABOUT SMELL
40. AEROBICS CLASSES
41. HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATIONS, TRADE SHOWS, CONCERTS
42. WINTER EVENTS THAT ATTRACT VISITORS TO ESTES PARK I.E. CRAFT SHOWS
43. MULTI PURPOSE STRUCTURE INDOOR SPORTING EVENTS AND AREANA FOR HORSE SHOWS
44. RUNNNG
45. LEAVE IT AS IS
46. INDOOR POOL
47. SHOULDER SEASON USAGE WOULD BE NICE
48. ROLLER SKATING
49. WE ARE RARELY HERE IN THE WINTER
50. GOLF DRIVING RANGE
51. ICE HOCKEY, ROLLER HOCKEY, DOG AGILITY COURSE
52. WINTER YARD SALES
53. COMMUNITY DANCES THEATRE PRODUCTIONS

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

54. CHUCK WAGON DINNERS AND FUND RAISING EVENTS
55. SELDOM IN TOWN IN WINTER
56. PLANT SHOWS, NATURE PROGRAMS
57. HOCKEY AND ROLLER SKATING
58. WORKOUT FACILITY
59. NOT REALLY PRACTICAL SOME USES ARE REDUNDANT FOR CURRENT AVAILABLE OPTIONS
60. NOT HERE IN WINTER
61. IF IT IS AN AMENITY THAT WILL BRING PEOPLE TO TOWN
62. INDOOR HORSEBACK RIDING WALKING WITH DOGS
63. GUN SHOWS
64. MULTI USE FACILITY SHOWS, INDOOR SOCCER, INDOOR BASEBALL, INDOOR CLIMBING, SEE FRESNO CALIFORNIA FOR EXAMPLE
65. WE ARE THERE SPORADICALLY IN THE WINTER BUT THESE ALL LOOK LIKE GREAT IDEAS
66. CLIMBING WALL, WEIGHT LIFTING, FITNESS, AEROBICS, BASKETBALL, VOLLEYBALL
67. YARD SALE, SPORT SHOW, BOW AND ARROW, SHOOTING
68. CURLING AND PEOPLE PAY TO PLAY
69. I DON'T THINK I'D USE
70. RUNNING TRACK
71. THE UNDER 5 YOA NEEDS A PLACE TO PLAY DURING WINTER. I LIKE THE EXISTING PLAN.
72. TOWN COUNCIL CAN HOLD THEIR MEETINGS IN THE NEW HORSE STALLS, WHEN NOT IN USE BY THE HORSES.
73. REQUIRES SURFACE FINER THAN CEMENT

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

QUESTION 3 Building costs for both barns is estimated at 3.5 million. Considering project costs would you like the Town Board to:	A Wait until the town has saved enough money and pay cash.	B Pay for a portion of the project by financing and pay for a portion with cash and build the barns now.	C Borrow 3.5 million to build both barns now.	NA
	236	253	43	49

COMMENTS

1. allows fee to help pay for it
2. rates seem favorable if such loan can be obtained
3. building costs are going up do it now before it costs another million
4. use epura
5. use epura
6. 3.5 million plus maintenance, staffing, utilities, etc...get real!
7. DON'T WANT IT BUT IF
8. I DON'T THINK IT IS CRITICAL TO HAVE BRAND NEW NARNS
9. BE RESPONSIBLE
10. I FEEL HORSE BARNs ARE A LWO PRIORITY FOR USE OF FUNDS SURELY ANOTHER FIRE STATION, TENNIS COURTS, OR SUPPLYING ELECTRICTY TO THE PAVILLION
11. HOPE YOU CAN GET A LOW RATE LOAN AND USER FEES SHOULD PAY FOR IT AS WELL
12. BUILD NOW WHATEVER IT TAKES
13. NO MORE DEBT
14. DO NOT NEED MORE DEBT
15. PLEASE BUILD AT ONCE
16. STAY FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE
17. MONEY IS CHEAPER NOW THAN IT WILL BE IN THE FUTRE
18. CHARGE USER FEES FOR STALLS, AND TAX ON TICKET SALES
19. HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE TO ACCUMULATE 3.5 MIL?
20. TOWN SHOULD SPEND MONEY MORE WISELY AND WE WOULD ALREADY HAVE A GOOD PORTION OF PUT TOWARDS PROJECTS LIKE THIS.

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

21. GET IT DONE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE
22. INTEREST IS TOO EXPENSIVE IF THE BOARD CANT SAVE ENOUGH MONEY DON'T BUILD
23. HORSE EVENTS ARE A PROVEN DRAW AND VERY APPROPRIATE FOR ESTES TO PROMOTE WE SHOULD CATER TO THIS DEMOGRAPHIC AND FACILITIES
24. RENTING THE SPACE SHOULD BE ABLE TO PAY THE NOTE. I DON'T HAVE THE FINANCIALS BUT I TRUST THE TOWN HAS INFORMATION TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION
25. BORROW FOR THE BARNS AND USE THE CASH TO PAY FOR OTHER PROJECTS THAT ARE PART OF THE REVITILIZATION PLAN
26. I AM NOT AWARE OF ALL THE CONSEQUENCES OF FINANCING BUT WOULD PREFER THE BARNS BE BUILT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE FOR MY BENEFIT, THE BENEFIT OF MY PEERS, AND THE BENEFIT OF THE COMMUNITY
27. DON'T KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT RELATIVE COSTS TO SELECT AN OPTION
28. I WOULD DO "B" FOR ONE BARN AND BUILD THE SECOND LATER
29. I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFO TO MAKE A DECISION
30. UPDATE WILL INCREASE INCOME OPPORTUNITIES
31. NO NEW BARNS NO NEW TAXES
32. BECAUSE OF THE LIMITED USE THE BARNS SHOULD BE FINANCED BY THE PEOPLE AND ORGANIZATIONS WHO ARE GOING TO USE THEM
33. BE VERY PROACTIVE IN BOOKING THE STRUCTURE TO GENERATE \$ TO PAY FOR THEM
34. THAT IS A LOT FOR HORSE BARNS
35. I THINK WE NEED TO KEEP THE BARNS OR WE WILL LOSE BUSINESS TO PAY FOR THEM
36. NOT A VITAL PROJECT TO WARRANT FINANCING
37. THE LONGER WE WAIT THE MORE EXPENSIVE IT GETS
38. DO WHAT IS NECESSARY TO BUILD BOTH BARNS NOW
39. SHOW A NEED BEFORE YOU ACT
40. DO IT NOW!
41. STOP BORROWING MONEY
42. USE THE GENERAL FUND SURPLUS
43. BARNS COULD BE REPAIRED AND UPGRADED UNTIL MONEY IS SAVED
44. I BELIEVE THE TOWN HAS THE MONEY BUT IS INTENT ON SPENDING IT SOMETHING THAT WILL NOT SHOW PROFIT FOR MANY YEARS. SOMETIMES IT IS OKAY TO DO SOMETHING FOR SOMEONE WITHOUT GETTING ANYTHING IN RETURN

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

45. ANYONE WHO HAS EVER PURCHASED A HOME SHOULD KNOW THAT THE CURRENT INTEREST RATES WILL DOULBE THE COSTS OVER TIME
46. OR BETTER YET CANCEL PROJECT
47. PAY AS YOU GO
48. BUILDING IN THE FUTURE MAY BE MORE EXPENSIVE WE COULD CONSTRUCT NOW AND SURE TO BE LESS EXPENSIVE
49. WHY WAIT!
50. YOU'VE GOT TO BE KIDDIBNG WHAY ABOUT A WAGE FREEZE FOR THE MAYOR
51. BUILD ONE BARN NOW WITH 2/3 CASH PLUS 1/3 DEBT. ACCUMULATE ENOUGH CASH TO APPLY 2/3 TO 2ND BARN AND BEGIN CONSTRUCTION WITH 2/3 CASH AND 1/3 DEBT. WRITE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS TO PENALIZE COST TIME OVER-RUNS AND DESIGN BUILDING TO DECREASE AMENITIES IF COSTS SHOULD RISE PROHIBITIVELY DURING CONTRUCTION.
52. DON'T PENALIZE THE OUT OF TOWNER AFTER THEY SPENT TIME AND MONEY TO VISIT
53. THE TOWN OF ESTES PARK HAS ENOUGH MONEY NOW TO BUILD THE BARNS. IT HAS RECEIVED 5 MILLION THE PAST THREE YEARS OVER ITS BUDGET. IT HAD MONEY TO PURCHASE HERMIT PARK WITH OUT BUDGETING
54. PLEASE BUILD ASAP
55. I DON'T CARE ABOUT HORSE I JUST WANT AN ICE RINK
56. I DON'T LIKE THE PLAN I SAW AND HEARD IT PRESENTED AND REVIEWED
57. SHOULD BE DONE SOONER THAN LATER. MAY HELP KEEP ACTIVITY IN ESTES PARK. ESPECIALLY FOR MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES
58. INSTEAD OF SPENDING 3.5 MIL ON BARNS FOR A SMALL SELECT GROUP. HOW ABOUT SVAING THAT MONEY FOR THE NEEDS OF THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY. WHEN THE BARK BEETLE INFESTATION HTS THE ESTES AREA WE WILL NEED A WHOLE NEW CITY DEPARTMENT JUST TO DO INSPECTIONS AND MONITOR SPRAYING AND REMOVAL CONTRACTORS.
59. DO NOT BUILD THEM
60. WE NEED INDOOR FACILITIES FOR RESIDENTS AND FAMILIES NOW AND IN THE FUTURE, BUT THE SOONER THE BETER!
61. IF THE TAX ISSUE IS APPROVED THIS WILL PAY FOR THE BARNS?
62. CANT WAIT FOR HORSES-HORSE SHOWS WILL GO ELSEWHERE IF WE DON'T DEDICATE OURSELVES TO TAKING CARE OF THEM
63. WE CANT WAIT ANY LONGER! WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT STUDYING ETC...FOR 30 YEARS. WE'VE GOT TO ACT OR THE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY WILL BE GONE.

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

64. IT SHOULD PAY BACK IN FIVE YEARS
65. NOT EVERYONE CAN AFFORD HIGHER TAXES
66. THE NEED IS PRESSING CANT WAIT FOR SAVING THE MONEY
67. WHERE DO OUR TAXES GO? YOU SHOULD HAVE A SURPLUS SOMEWHERE!
68. UNSURE WITHOUT MORE INFO
69. I'M ON A FIXED INCOME
70. DOES THIS 3.5 MIL INCLUDE WINTERIZED BARNs AND THE SPACE FOR THE ABOVE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES?
71. DEPENDS ON HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO SAVE THE CASH. IF LESS THAN 5 YEARS YES. IF MORE THAN FIVE YEARS THE HALF AND HALF MODEL
72. IT'S ALWAYS A GOOD IDEA TO PAY FOR SOMETHING IN FULL. FINANCING SHOULD ONLY BE A DESPERATE LAST CHANCE ONLY. IF YOU CAN'T PAY FOR IT YOU DON'T DESERVE TO HAVE IT.
73. THE LONGER WE PUT IT OFF THE MORE IT WILL COST MUNICIPAL BONDS COST LESS THAN COMMERCIAL PAPER.
74. IF THERE IS NO WINTER USE NOW WHY SHOULD WE THINK THERE IS DEMAND FOR IT?
75. SINCE THE ECONOMY IS ON A DOWN TURN SHOULDN'T THE TOWN BE A LITTLE MORE CAUTIOUS!
76. WITH THE ECONOMY IN DIRE STRAITS SAVE THE CASH AND MAKE INTEREST ON THE SAVINGS FOR REVENUE INCOME.
77. I WOULD VOTE FOR ONE BARN NOW AND THE PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT.
78. 3.5 MIL FOR HORSE STALLS? ARE YOU CRAZY?
79. THIS IS A MORAL ISSUE. OUR SOCIETY HAS MOVED FROM A SAVE AND BUY CULTURE TO A HAVE NOW CULTURE. THIS HAS RESULTED IN MASSIVE CREDIT CARD DEBT, SHORT TERM STIMULUS AND LONG TERM ECONOMIC DISASTER FOR AMERICA. ESTES PARK SHOULD RESIST THIS NONSENSE AND DEFER GRADIFICATION AVOID PAYING INTEREST RATES AND SET AN EXAMPLE FOR RESPONSIBLE CITIZENSHIP AND GOVERNING. NOT NEEDED
80. THESE FACILITIES WITH USER FEES AND INCOME GENERATED BY INCREASED SALES TAX WITH THIS ATTRACTION SHOULD PAY FOR ITSELF.
81. WE SAVE FOR OUR BIG PURCHASES GOVERNMENT SHOULD TOO.
82. IF ADDITIONAL REVENUE CAN BE USED TO REDUCE THE DEBT AND THE PERCENTAGE OF REVENUE IS MORE THAN THE COST OF BORROWING THE TOWN NEEDS TO DO IT.
83. APPLY FOR A GRANT
84. WE'RE TOLD THE ESTIMATE OF 3.5 MIL WOULD YIELD A BUDGET OF \$50-\$60 PER SQR. FT., AS

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

A BUILDER THIS SOUNDS VERY DUBIOUS.

85. START BUILDING!

86. I BELIEVE USE FEE AND VENUE FEES CAN PAY OFF DEBT.

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

QUESTION 4	A	B	C	NA
If the multi-use barns are built how should use fees be charged?	A flat fee for all users.	A tiered fee for town, county and visitors.	No Fee	
	235	228	62	60

COMMENTS

1. DEPENDENT ON WHO IS OPERATING IT
2. REQUIRE SCOTTISH TO CEASE FIRING CANON INTO LAKE
3. YOU COULD ARGUE THAT VISITORS PAY MORE BUT IT WOULD ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO BE HERE BUT ALL RESIDENTS SHOULD HELP
4. FEE SHOULD BE MINIMAL A BREAK EVEN OPERATION ONLY NOT A PROFIT CENTER. TOM SHOULD BUDGET ENOUGH FOR LARGE MAINTENANCE ITEMS
5. TOWN NEEDS NOT TO BE SO GREEDY DON'T FORGET NON-PROFITS
6. A YEARLY MEMBERSHIP FOR ALL
7. FEE FOR RENTING BARNs NOT INDIVIDUAL USE
8. FEE SHOULD BE LESS FOR TAX PAYERS
9. THE PROJECT WILL NOT SUPPORT ITSELF NO MATTER HOW YOU CHARGE
10. DON'T KNOW
11. WHO ARE YOU CHARGING AND WHAT ARE YOU CHARGING THEM FOR?
12. THESE BARNs MEAN NOTHING TO ME MY TAXES ARE WAY TO HIGH NOW
13. PAY AS YOU USE IT RATHER THAN A TAX INCREASE
14. DEPENDS ON TYPE OF FINANCING AND IF TAXES GO UP!
15. A HORSE BARN IS A HORSE BARN
16. REQUIRES A STUDY WHAT DO OTHER COMMUNITIES DO
17. SAME WAY FEES ARE CHARGED NOW
18. KEEP ANY FEE MINIMAL
19. USER FEES ARE NECESSARY TO PAY FOR MAINT AND FOR DEBT REDUCTION. I WOULD LIKE FOR ALL CHILDREN IN ESTES TO BE ABLE TO ENJOY IT FREE
20. I WOULD BE WILLING TO PAY USER FEE IF WE WILL NOT HAVE TO PAY MILL LEVY. IF IT FIANCED THROUGH A RAISE IN OUR PROPERTY TAXES THEN A TIERED FEE STRUCTURE

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

SHOULD APPLY FOR PROPERTY OWNERS

21. USERS WOULD EXPECT TO PAY FEES TOWN SPONSORED EVENTS SHOULD NOT PAY
22. THE MAJORITY OF USERS ARE OUT OF TOWN. A TOERED FEE FOR USERS MORE APPROPRIATE
23. I DON'T THINK A FEE SHOULD BE CHARGED FOR WALKING OR PLAYGROUND-COULDN'T THE FEE FOR INDOOR SHOWS SUBSIDIZE SOME OF THE OTHERS
24. NO FEE TO USE PLAYGROUND SKATING RINK ETC...BUT OKAY TO CHARGE TO RENT SKATES, ATTEND SHOWS ETC...
25. MEMBERSHIPS?
26. CHARGE EVENTS ORGANIZERS, CHARGE FOR SPECIAL USES, STABLE USE, PAY FOR DAY TO USE WLAKING TRACK OR PLAYGROUND CHARGE A MINIMAL FEE AND THE SAME FOR ALL USERS
27. GIVE YOUTH ORGANIZATIONS A BREAK
28. NO FEE WOULD BE NICE BUT PROBABLY UNREALISTIC SINCE THERE IS ALWAYS ONGOING MAINT.
29. WE ALL SHOULD PAY AS WE USE THE FACILITY FEES SHOULD BE CHARGED ACCORDINGLY
30. TOWN RESIDENTS SHOULD NOT BE CHARGED
31. FEE FOR WLAKING, PLAYGROUND ETC...
32. MAYBE A SMALL FEE TO COVER LIGHTS AND CLEAN-UP
33. NOT MORE THAN \$5.00 PER PERSON
34. FEES SHOULD BE FLEXIBLE TO MAKE IT AFFORDABLE FOR NON-PROFIT GROUPS ETC...
35. OUR TAX MONEY BUILT IT WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO USE THE BUILDING AT A MINIMAL COST
36. PLEASE DON'T ANTAGONIZE PEOPLE WITH THE US AND THEM SITUATION. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WILL BRING PEOPLE INTO TOWN WHO PAY SALES TAX
37. MUST HAVE A FEE TO PAY FOR UTLITIES AND CUSTODIAL SERVICES. NON PROFITS, GOVERNMENT, AND QUASI GOVERNMENTAL SHOULD HAVE LOWER FEES
38. IF OUR PROPERTY TAXES ARE RAISED WE SHOULD HAVE A REDUCED FEE. IF TAXES ARE NOT RAISED THEN FLAT FEE
39. DO LIKE THE GOLF COURSE DOES-AN ANNUAL PASS FOR ESTES VALLEY RESIDENTS
40. LETS QUIT TRYING TO SPILT US UP AS A COMMUNITY-PERHAPS IF PEOPLE FELT MORE LIKE THEY WERE A PART OF THINGS THEY WOULD BE LESS LIKELY TO GO TO THE VALLEY
41. LET THE EXPERTS RECOMMEND
42. NOT AT THIS LET THE PEOPLE THAT USE IT PAY FOR IT
43. ONLY THIS FOLKS WHO ARE FAMILIAR WITH THE USAGE CAN INTELLIGENTLY MAKE THIS CALL

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

44. HAVE NO IDEA HOW THAT SHOULD WORK
45. PER VISIT, PER WEEK, PER MONTH, PER YEAR USERS SHOULD PAY
46. A TIERED FEE WOULD BE GOOD BUT COUNTY RESIDENTS SHOULD PAY WHAT TOWN RESIDENTS PAY
47. RESIDENTS WHO LIVE OUTSIDE OF TOWN SUPPORT THE TOWN JUST AS MUCH AS THOSE WHO LIVE IN TOWN. ALSO VISITORS SHOULD NOT BE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST WE WANT THEM TO RETURN
48. KEEP FEE SMALL \$5 OR LESS
49. WOULD YOU CONSIDER ALLENSPARK PART OF THE COMMUNITY?
50. DO NOT BUILD A MULTI USE BARN BUILD A REGULAR BARN
51. HOPEFULLY FEE BASED ACTIVITIES WILL COVER OPERATING EXPENSES
52. OR A MINIMAL FEE DEPENDENT ON HOW MANY USERS
53. NO FEE FOR TOWN RESIDENTS WOULD BE SUPER BUT NOT SURE IF THAT IS FEASIBLE
54. THE FEE FOR RESIDENTS SHOULD BE MINIMAL AS THESE ARE MANY BELOW POVERTY LIMITS AND THEY WOULD BENEFIT FROM THEIR USE
55. ESTES NEEDS TO ATTRACT OUT OF TOWN BUSINESS NOT DISCOURAGE IT
56. WE NEED A REC CENTER AND ARE HAPPY TO PAY TO USE IT
57. FEE FOR INDOOR SHOWS AND MAYBE SKATING NO FEE FOR PLAYGROUND
58. BUT KEEP IT LOW! ALMOST A TOKEN (IT WILL ADD UP)
59. WE ARE A TOURIST TOWN-WHY PENALIZE THEM WITH A HIGH FEE STRUCTURE
60. KEEP THE FEES AS SMALL AS POSSIBLE AND INSIST THE MONEY GENERATED STAYS FOR UPKEEP OF THESE FACILITIES
61. SINCE I WOULD HELP PAY FEES, WHY WOULD I HAVE TO PAY MORE THAN TOWN RESIDENTS. ALSO, ITS A LOT OF TROUBLE PROVING WHERE YOU RESIDE
62. A TIERED FEE STRUCTURE FOR THOSE WHO PAY PROPERTY TAXES INTO THE DISTRICT AND THOSE WHO DO NOT
63. RESIDENTS FREE!
64. PERHAPS ENTRY FEE FOR SHOWS
65. I AM A SUMMER RESIDENT. IF TOWN WANTS TO KEEP UP TO DATE NEED GOOD YEAR ROUND FACILITIES
66. WHATEVER MAKES SENSE FINANCIALLY
67. (B) IS TOO CUMBERSOME AND HOW ABOUT A LOWER FEE FOR UNDER 18 YOA AND OVER 60

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

68. CONSIDERING THE EVRPD WOULD MANAGE THE WINTER USE, IT SHOULD BE BASED ON EVRPD RESIDENTS. ALSO, WHEN WILL THE TOWN FIGURE OUT THAT THE ESTES VALLEY RESIDENTS PAY NEARLY THE SAME IN TAXES?
69. THE HORSE SHOWS BRING MONEY INTO THE TOWN. IT IS NOT LOCALS. THE TOWN SHOULD PROVIDE FREE USE FOR GUESTS.
70. USERS SHOULD FUND THE BARNS THEY USE, NOT THE REST OF THE ALREADY OVERTAXED VALLEY, WHO GETS NO DIRECT BENEFIT FROM THESE BARNS.
71. FOR GENERAL USE FEES WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE SPECIAL ACTIVITIES THAT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE.
72. THERE WAS MONEY RESERVED FOR THIS PURPOSE WHERE DID IT GO?
73. IF THE TOWN IS TO RAISE TAXES TO PAY FOR THIS PAYING A FEE MEANS RESIDENTS PAY TWICE.
74. EITHER NO FEE OR VERY LOW FOR MAINTENANCE COSTS ONLY.
75. FEES DO NOT HAVE TO BE EXCESSIVE BE BETTER THAN NO FEE AT ALL
76. FEE FOR USE-NO ANNUAL FEES RESIDENTS
77. DON'T PENALIZE THE OUT OF TOWNERS. THEY HAVE INCURRED EXPENSES TO GET TO ESTES.
78. SOME NO FEE-LIKE WALKING AND TENNIS-OTHERS FEE LIVE INDORR SHOWS
79. USER FEES SHOULD BE HIGH ENOUGH TO PAY FOR THE PROJECT. NOT TAX MONEY AND PROPERTY TAX.
80. OUR TAXES ARE OUR FEE-IF YOU CHARGE , CHARGE TOURISTS-DOES THE SCOTTISH FEST PAY TO USE THE FAIRGROUNDS?
81. IF FEES IS USED PEOPLE WILL TAKE BETTER CARE OF FACILITY
82. NO FEE OR LOW FEE MAKES IT USABLE BY ALL NOT JUST THE PRIVILEGED FEW. VERY LOW FEE. IF SOMEONE WERE TO ONLY WALK ON INSIDE TRACK SEEMS THERE SHOULD BE NO FEE. BUT TO RENT FOR AN EVENT SHOULD HAVE A FAIR FEE.
83. MAYBE LOCALS MAY GET A SLIGHT DISCOUNT SINCE WE'RE PAYING FOR IT.
84. NO FEE FOR SERVICE CLUBS WHO RETURN ALL THEIR FUNDS TO THE CITY SUCH AS KIWANIS.
85. CAN MAKE CHANGES IF NECESSARY, DEPENDING ON WHO PAID FOR IT.
86. I THINK THAT THE TOWN SHOULD HAVE BUILT AN ENCLOSED ARENA YEARS AGO.
87. IT COSTS, SO A FEE BASED ON REVENUE MAKES SENSE. AND WE ARE COMMITTED AS A SOCIETY TO FAIR AND EQUAL TREATMENT. TREAT EVERYONE THE SAME.
88. THE STRUCTURE OF FEES SHOULD ALLOW FOR THE PEOPLE PAYING PROPERTY TAX ON THE FACILITY GETTING TO USE IT AT A REDUCED RATE.

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

89. AN ANNUAL PASS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE. FEES SHOULD BE LESS FOR THOSE WHO HAVE PAID TAXES TO BUILD THE BARNES.
90. I LOVE ESTES PARK AND VISIT AS OFTEN AS I CAN. MY TAXES ARE ALREADY TO HIGH SUPPORTING YEAR ROUND LOCAL RESIDENCES. MUST I SUPPORT THEIR HORSES ALSO?
91. NO FEE FOR YOUTH GROUPS
92. THIS FEE SHOULD BE ENOUGH TO REPLACE THE BUILDINGS IN 20 YEARS, AND COVER CURRENT UP KEEP AND MAINTENANCE AND UTILITIES.
93. A MODEST FEE

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

QUESTION 5	YES	NO	NA
Would you be willing to (temporarily) raise your property tax mil by 2.7 mils to finance the barns over a ten year period (a cost of \$64.48 for a house with an assessed value of \$300,000).	181	351	35

COMMENTS

1. I DO NOT LIVE IN TOWN
2. RENTS COULD BE RAISED ON FEE STRUCTURE ASKING TO PAY, THAT WAY EVERYONE IS SUPPORTING ITS BEING BUILT THEREFORE USAGE SHOULD BE BY WHAT COMMUNITY INTERESTS ARE IN WINTER
3. I AM A COUNTY RESIDENT I AM WILLING TO SHARE COST EQUALLY AS LONG AS AM CHARGED EQUALLY TO USE FACILITY
4. THOSE NOT IN TOWN LIMITS I BELIEVE THE RESIDENTS IN THE LOCAL COUNTY AREA SHOULD PARTICIPATE IN SUPPORT OF THE FAIR GROUND
5. WHEN WE HAVE 15 YEAR OLDS WRITING LETTERS TO THE EDITIOR ASKING FOR A COMMUNITY CENTER TOWN GOVERNMENT OUGHT TO DAMN WELL LISTEN EPURA FUNDS SHOULD SUPPORT THE EFFORT
6. THE TOWN KEEPS MAKING MORE MONY AND THE RESIDENTS LESS
7. WHY NOY LET THE TAXPAYERS KEEP SOME OF THEIR MONEY FOR THINGS LIKE GASOLINE, HEATING OUR HOMES, MAYBE EVEN FOOD ON THE TABLE
8. TAXES ARE ALREADY TOO HIGH, STOP WASTING MONEY ON THINGS THE VOTERS DON'T WANT
9. TEMPORARY TAXES BECOME PERMENENT THERE IS ALWAYS ANOTHER PROJECT TO FUND
10. WE USE THIS FACILITY LESS THAN ONCE A YEAR AND DO NOT FEEL WE CAN ANSWER THIS QUESTION
11. WE'D LIKE TO SAY YES BUT IT'S A POOR PRESIDENT
12. FIRE PROTECTION IS A HIGHER PRIORITY
13. ON DECREASING INCOME WANT NO MORE EXPENDITURES
14. IS ANY TAX INCREASE EVER TEMPORARY IT SEEMS LIKE IT IS SO EASY TO FORGET THAT PART OF ANY AGREEMENT OVER THE YEARS
15. I DON'T CONSIDER MYSELF AN ESTES PARK CITIZEM

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

16. WE DON'T LIVE IN TOWN REGARDLESS IF WE FEEL LIKE WE DO THIS QUESTION DOESN'T APPLY. ID BE WILLING TO CHIP IN WITH A TAX INCREASE
17. JUST OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS
18. BECAUE I DON'T LIVE IN THERE FULLTIME I WOULD HAVE MINIMAL USE OF THE FACILITY
19. I THINK THIS WOULD BE A WONDERFUL ADDITION TO ESTES AND I WISH YOU WELL TO BRINGING IT TO REALITY. I'M PAST 80 YEARS OLD SO I PROBABLY WONT BE USING IT BUT WANT TO SUPPORT YOUR EFFORTS.
20. TEMPORARY TAXES HAVE A HISTORICAL WAY OF BECOMING PERMENENT. I AM WILLING TO SUPPORT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT NEW FEES, BUT NOT THIS.
21. I'M SAYING NO BECAUSE OF HOW IN/OUT OF TOWN ISSUES ONE BEING POORLY DEALT WITH BY THE CITY. FIRE SERVICES IS THE LATEST ISSUE YOUR QUESTION HERE IS A GOOD EXAMPLE. YOU ARE CONSIDERING A TIERED FEE FOR CITY/COUNTY RESIDENTS YET YOU WANT A MILL LEVY FROM ALL
22. BARN/STABLE USERS SHOULD PAY FOR THE FACILITY FOR THE PART
23. WILLING TO RAISE THE TAX TEMPORARILY BUT WOULD FEEL BETTER IF WE WERE TOWN RESIDENTS FOR ANY FEES CONSIDERED
24. PLEASE NO MORE MILLS FIND ANOTHER WAY MOST RESIDENTS WILL NOT USE THIS FACILITY
25. I FEAR TEMPORARY USUALLY TURNS TO PERMENENT
26. THE CITY OF EP CAN SUPPLY ACTIVELY BUILDING FOR THE COMMUNITY WE HAVE ALREADY PAID THE TAXES USE IT!
27. IT IS UNLIKELY I WOULD EVER USE THE FACILITY
28. THERE IS NO NEED FOR MULTI USE BARNs TURN THE OLD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL INTO A REC CENTER FOR A GREAT YEAR ROUND FACILITY
29. IF IT CANT PAY ON ITS OWN THEN DON'T DO IT
30. SAVE THE MONEY FROM SALES TAX
31. CAN THE PROPERTY TAX BE AN OPTION FOR THOSE WHO WANT TO USE THE BARN? WOULD WE GET A DISCOUNT USER RATE?
32. I STRONGLY BELIEVE WE SHOULD BUILD INFRATSRUCTURE WITH CAPITAL PROGRAMS LIKE STANELY FAIRGROUNDS REVITILIZATION PLAN/
33. PUBLIC BUILDINGS DON'T HAVE TO MAKE MONEY AND TAX SUPPORT IS EXPECTED. USING STANLEY PARK AS PLACE TO ENTERTAIN YOUTH WOULD BE TOTALLY ACCEPTABLE TO F.O. STANELY
34. IF AN ATTRACTIVE COST EFFICIENT STRUCTURE IS BUILT THEN ITS WORTH THE TAX MILL-IF

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

IT'S A FACILITY THAT SUCKS UP MONEY IN MAINTENANCE AND HEATING WHILE IT SITS UNDER UTILIZED ALL WINTER THEN ITS NOT.

35. I OWN PROPERTY IN ESTES BUT RESIDE IN MN THESE BARNS DO NOT BENEFIT ME IN ANYWAY PERSONALLY OR BRINGING UP THE VALUE OF MY HOME!
36. TEMPORARY IS THE KEY WORD!
37. I WOULD IF WE LIVED IN TOWN
38. YOU ARE GOING TO TAX US REGISTER OUT OF TOWN
39. SINCE WE HAVE A SUMMER CABIN WE ARE UNABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE YEAR ROUND ACTIVITIES OFFERED IN ESTES, THEREFORE WE DON'T BELIEVE IT WOULD BE FAIR TO PAY FOR THIS PROJECT BY AN INCREASE IN OUR PROPERTY TAX. BY ALL USERS I ASSUME YOU MEAN THOSE WHO ARE XHIBITORS AND THOSE OF US WHO ATTEND THE ACTIVITIES.
40. WE HAVE JUST BEEN SLAMMED WITH A LARGE BOND FOR THE SCHOOL-HOW DOES THE MIDDLE CLASS MAKE IT IN THIS TOWN? GASOLINE AND GROCERY PRICES ARE OUTRAGEOUS-NOW \$150 TO INSURE MY HOUSE SO THAT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WILL RESPOND TO A FIRE.
41. DECLARE IT BLIGHTED AND USE EPURA MONEY
42. I THINK THE TOWN NEED TO SPEND ITS MONEY WISLEY AND WE WOULD HAVE THE MONEY TO PAY FOR IT.
43. THERE ARE CERTAINLY MORE IMPORTANT AND MUCH NEEDED PROJECTS FOR THE TOWN OF ESTES. THE EP FIRE DEPARTMENT CURRENTLY REMAINS UNDERFUNDED LITTLE EQUIPMENT AND ONE MAJOR FIRE IN ESTES COULD WEEKEN ITS ECONOMY/
44. PLEASE DON'T RAISE OUR PROPERTY TAXES!WE CAN BARELY AFFORD THEM NOW.
45. WE CURRENTLY HAVE A SUMMER ONLY CABIN. WE WOULD NOT UTILIZE THE FACILITY TO JUSTIFY INCREASE IN TAXES.
46. WE ARE ALREADY OVERBURDENED WITH TAX DISTRICTS IN THIS TOWN. NO NEW TAXES FOR THIS!
47. NOT SURE IF WE ARE IN THE DISTRICT
48. I DON'T GO FOR THE INCREASE EASILY
49. TAX INCREASES HAVE FAR EXCEEDED INFLATION
50. NO TAX IS TEMPORARY
51. I WILL PAY TO USE THE FACILITY. MY UTILITY BILL CHANGED, GOES UP 100% TO COVER SOMETHING BECAUSE WE KEEP OUR THERMOSTAT LOW-SO USE THAT MONEY YOU ARE TAKING FROM THE RESIDENCE!
52. WE ARE NOT CITIZENS OF EP WHY WOULD WE WANT TO SUPPORT EP FINANCIALLY THROUGH

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

PROPERTY TAX?

53. THE RIP OFF FIRE DICTRICT HAS JUST DUMPED ON US FOR \$130. THE BUSH TAX CUTS SOON EXPIRE- OUR PROPERTY TAZES HAVE BEEN RAISED BY A WEASELY GOVERNOR-IN SHORT I AM TIRED OF PAYING TAXES
54. HAH!!
55. I WOULD HATE TO SEE THE TAXES GO UP ANY HIGHER THAN THEY ARE NOW.
56. ABSOLUTELY NOT!
57. HOW ABOUT TEN YEARS-THIRTY YEARS. HOW LONG IS TEMPORARY?
58. PERHAPS GIVE YAX PAYERS A DISCOUNT WHILE TEMPORARILY PAYING TAXES.
59. ABSOLUTELY NOT.
60. TEMPORARY? HA HA
61. NOPE TAXES ARE HIGH NOW NO NEW TAXES!
62. SUGGESTION: RECENTLY LOVELAND HELD AN EVENT FOR RESIDENTS FOR SHREDDING PERSONAL INFORMATION. A COMMERCIAL SHREDDING COMPANY WAS AVAILABLE ON SATURDAY FOR RESIDENTS TO USE A FEE WASCHARGED COULD YOU LOOK INTO PROVIDING SUCH SERVICES FOR OUR COMMUNITY. (ESTES PARK, GLEN HAVEN, AND ALLENSPARK)
63. ASOLUTELY!
64. IF PLANNING INCORPORATES COMMUNITY CENTERED USES AND INCLUDES GREEN DESIGN.
65. N/A BUT I WILL DONATE TO PROJECT
66. ONLY IF IT INCLUDES A FULL SIZE ICE RINK
67. TAXES ARE SKY HIGH NOW. USE ANY INCREASE FOR EDUCATION ONLY!
68. I DON'T OWN HORSES. ANOTHER EXCUSE FOR A TAX INCREASE
69. WOULD PREFER THE TOWN TO PAY IT OFF WITH \$500K IT PLANS TO SAVE OVER THE NEXT SIX YEARS TO PAY FOR IT. IF YOU WANT TO RAISE THE MIL LEVY DO IT FOR GOOD!
70. I'M ON A FIXED INCOME
71. THAT IS WHAT THE USEAGE FEE IF FOR.
72. HALF THAT FIGURE (2.7 MILS) YES.
73. RAISING TAXES DURING BAD ECONOMIC TIMES IS NOT GOOD POLICY OR POLITICS FOR THE TOWN.
74. ANOTHER EXCUSE FOR A TAX INCREASE
75. WE LIVE IN THE COUNTY BUT I WOULD BE HAPPY TO PAY AN EXTRA \$100 A YEAR FOR A FACILITY THAT WILL HELP US BE A MORE ATTRACTIVE YEAR ROUND DESTINATION.

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

76. THIS IS NOT A MATTER OF DEPERATION! SHOW SOME DISCIPLINE
77. IF PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO PAY UPFRONT FOR SOMETHING THEN THEY DON'T WANT IR BAD ENOUGH.
78. IT IS WORTH HAVING TAXES RAISED TO USE BOTH REC AND HORSE FACILITY.
79. BUT DON'T RENEW EPURA! ALSO-PLEASE DO A FORESNIC AUDIT SO WE KNOW WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING.
80. YOU KNOW AS WELL AS I THAT THERE IS SUCH THING AS TEMPORARY PROPERTY TAX. ITS TIME TO START MAKING THE PEOPLE WHO USE THESE FACILITIES PAY FOR THEM.
81. DON'T KNOW-TIRED OF BEING TAXED-LIVING IN THIS TOWN ISN'T CHEAP.
82. THE EVENTS SHOULD FINANCE THE PROJECTMY FRIENDS ARE ALWAYS OPPOSED TO TAX BUT WE MUST TAX TO PAY AS WE GO FOR IMPROVEMENTS
83. THE TOWN TAKES IN MORE THAN ENOUGH TAX TO FUND NEEDED IMPROVEMENTS WITHOUT ADDING MORE TAXES. IT ALSO TAKES IN ADDITIONAL \$ BY STIFFING SUMMER RESIDENTS WITH OUTRAGEOUS WATER MINIMUMS FOR USING NO WATER.
84. TAXES ON MY TINY SUMMER CABIN ARE ALREADY TWICE WHAT I PAY FOR MY YEAR ROUND HOME, I DON'T WANT TO SEE THEM RAISED.
85. I WOULD BE WILLING AS LONG AS THE FACILITY IS SUITABLE FOR WALKING AND JOGGING DURING THE WINTER. THERE'S NOTHING ELSE AVAILABLE IN SEVERE WEATHER.
86. IT WILL NEVER GO AWAY! LET THE TOURISTS PAY FOR IT.
87. I AM VERY SKEPTICAL OF TEMPORARY INCREASES
88. THIS FACILITY SHOULD BE PAID BY SALES TAX REVENUE
89. I AM NOT IN FAVOR OF RENEWING EPURA!!!THAT TAX NEEDS TO GO AWAY. I ALSO WANT TO SEE A FORENSIC AUDIT DOWNTOWN.
90. I DON'T LIKE THE PLAN.
91. HAVE BEEN PAYING FOR A CONVENTION CENTER FOR 25 YEARS. I AM IN THE COUNTY AND WE NEED FIRE PROTECTION MORE THAN ANOTHER FACILITY FOR THE BUSINESS BENEFIT.
92. I'VE NEVER KNOW A TEMPORARY TAX.
93. RECOMMEND TRYING OTHER FUNDRAISING SOURCES FIRST.
94. CONSIDERING MY PROPERTY TAX INCREASES CONSIDERABLY LAST TIME I DON'T FANCY ANOTHER TAX INCREASE
95. FUNDS ARE CURRENTLY AVAILABLE VIA EPURA OR RESERVES TO PARTIALLY FINANCE THE BUILDING
96. NO. NO MORE TAXES

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

97. WE ARE ON A FIXED INCOME. YOU WILL TAX US OUT OF THE AREA AND WE DON'T EVEN LIVE IN TOWN. WHY WOULD OUR TAXES PAY FOR THIS AND NOT FIRE PROTECTION THAT WE NEED.
98. I BELIEVE WE ARE IN A POSITION TO BUILD THE STRUCTURE WITHOUT RAISING TAXES
99. NO-NO NEW TAXES ONCE IMPOSED THAT ARE NEVER REMOVED
100. EFFORTS SHOULD BE MADE TO CUT GOVERNMENT SPENDING AT ALL LEVELS AS CITIZENS HAVE TO DO DURING TIMES OF ECONOMIC DOWNTURN AND RAMPANT INFLATION.
101. WE DO NOT LIVE IN TOWN AND WOULD NOT USE THIS FACILITY. THIS PROJECT SHOULD ONLY BE DONE AND PAID FOR BY PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THE TOWN IF THEY WANT TO DO THE PROJECT AND ARE WILLING TO PAY FOR IT THEMSELVES.
102. OUR PROPERTY IS BOULDER COUNTY AND I DON'T WANT TO PAY FOR ESTES PARK FACILITIES. I ALSO DON'T LIKE PAYING FOR ESTES VALLEY REC AS PART OF MY PROPERTY TAX. NONE OF THIS IS IN BOULDER COUNTY. ON RETIRED INCOME AND COST OF LIVING AT EVERY LEVEL ANY RAISES PLACES AN ADDED BURDEN.
103. YOU PEOPLE SPEND MONEY LIKE A DRUNKEN SAILOR.
104. HAVEN'T ANY INPUT ABOUT HORSE BARN. JUST DON'T WANT MY TAXES RAISED.
105. HOW ABOUT A 1/4 CENT SALES TX INCREASE TEMPORARILY TO PAY FOR THIS? THIS WAY BOTH RESIDENTS AND VISITORS CONTRIBUTE.
106. NO. DESPITE THE FACT THAT WE DO NOT RESIDE IN TOWN, WE DO PAY PROPERTY TAX ON OUR BUSINESS PROPERTY DOWNTOWN. DUE TO THE RIDICULOUS OVERVALUATION OF PROPERTY ALONG ELKHORN WE ARE ALREADY PAYING AS MUCH AS WE CAN HANDLE. AN ADDITIONAL TAX BURDEN ON DOWNTOWN PROPERTY IS GOING TO PRICE MANY MERCHANTS OUT OF THE MARKET AND WE'LL BE LOOKING AT MANY EMPTY STORE FRONTS.
107. HA! HA! HAVE YOU HEARD OF CALIFORNIA TEMPORARY TAX?
108. GENERAL COMMENTS
109. THE TOWN COUNCIL AND MAYOR HAS ALREADY MADE UP ITS MIND-AS USUAL- AND IT DOESN'T REALLY MATTER WHAT THE PEOPLE/TAXPAYER SAY. STOP THE TAX AND SPEND MENTALITY IN THIS TOWN-MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE CANNOT AFFORD IT.
110. WE FEEL THE TOWN OFFICIALS WERE ELECTED AND HIRED TO MAKE INTELLIGENT DECISIONS ON THESE MATTERS
111. I THINK ONE PART SHOULD BE AN ICE RINK IN THE WINTER, THE OTHER PART FOR EVENTS, SUCH AS ANTIQUE SHOWS, CAR SHOWS, HOME AND GARDEN, DOG SHOWS ETC...A WALKING TRACK SHOULD BE PROVIDED WITHOUT CHARGE (AT LEAST FOR LOCALS) I THINK TENNIS COURTS TAKE UP TOO MUCH ROOM AND WOULD NOT BENEFIT ENOUGH PEOPLE.

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

112. I STILL BELIEVE THE CULTURAL ARTS CENTER SHOULD BE BUILT BY THE STANELY HOTEL NOT BY A RODEO GROUNDS. THE FIRST TIME THE WIND SHIFTS THERE WILL BE TALK OF CLOSING THE FAIRGROUNDS COWBOYS IN OLD PICKUPS WHAT A DISGRACE! AND THE AND HORSE EVENTS ARE AMONG THE TOP TOURIST ATTRACTION IN ESTES. CAN YOU IMAGINE THE CULTURAL ARTS CENTER WITH THE SAME KIND OF ARCHITECTURE AS THE STANELY-CLOSE TO RESTAURANTS AND SHOPPING. WHO EVER THOUGHT OF PUTTING IT BY THE FAIRGROUNDS WAS NOT USING THEIR HEAD AND WHY WERENT THE PEOPLE OF ESTES ALLOWED TO VOTE ON THIS?!
113. THANKS FOR ASKING
114. WE NEED MORE OPPORTUNITY TO VOTE ON MAJOR DECISIONS. THE MAYOR AND TRUSTEES NEED TO IMPLEMENT WHAT THE TAXPAYERS WANT. NOT TELL THE TAXPAYERS WHAT THEY SHOULD BE HAPPY WITH. ESTES IS RAPIDLY CHANGING TO A COMMUNITY OF RETIRED, WELL TO DO, WELL EDUCATED PROFESSIONALS. THEY WILL NOT TOLERATE THE POLITICS OF THE PREVIOUS ADMINISTRATIONS.
115. IN CASE YOU HAVENT NOTICED WE ARE IN A RECESSION!
116. IF EPURA IS CONTINUED Town trustees must demand contracts for each project no more design/build projects which are an insult to taxpayers. TOWN GOVERNMENT SHOULD BEGIN TO RETHINK THE NUMBERS OF UPPER LEVEL ADMINISTRATION AND THEIR SALARY STRUCTURES. WE ARE A COMMUNITY NO MORE EQUAL TO BRECKENRIDGE OR THE OTHER COMMUNITIES IDENTIFIED AS COMPARISONS THAT AM THE QUEEN OF ENGLAND.
117. HAVE THE PEOPLE IN LARIMER COUNTY PAY FOR THEIR FACILITIES
118. I AM WILLING TO PAY 2.7 MILS BUT FOR FIRE PROTECTION FOR US VALLEY RESIDENTS . ASKING PEOPLE TO VOLUNTEER TO PAY \$130 PER YR FOR PROTECTION IS STUPID. I LIVE IN THE HIGH DRIVE AREA AND WE CANNOT GET EVEN 50% TO HELP PAY FOR ROAD MAINTENANCE AND SNOW REMOVAL.
119. THIS SURVEY IS A WASTE OF TIME THE WILL DO WHAT EVER IT WANTS REGARDLESS AS THEY DID TO FIRE PROTECTION.
120. PLANNING SHOULD START IMMEDIATELY SHOULD BE A COMMUNITY REC CENTER SHOULD INCORPORATE GREEN ENERGY EFFICIENT PASSIVE SOLAR DESIGN. EFFICIENCY WILL RECOVER EXTRA COSTS IN REDUCED OPERATING COSTS.
121. THIS WOULD BE A WONDERFUL ASSET TO THE TOWN. MANY FAMILIES ARE GOING TO THE VALLEY TO DO ACTIVITIES SUCH AS ICE SKATING , INDOOR TENNIS, ETC...WHILE THEY ARE IN THE VALLEY THEY FILL UP WITH GAS, BUY GROCERIES, ETC...MONEY THAT COULD BE SPENT IN ESTES PARK

COUNTY RESIDENT RESPONSES (567)

122. THE PROBLEM IS I AM EIGHTY YEARS OLD AND BY THE TIME THIS IS DONE I MAY NOT BE HERE
123. I DON'T FEEL THESE BARNS WOULD BE USED IN WINTER.
124. THANKS FOR SOLICITING INPUT
125. IT WOULD BE NICE IF EVERYTHING WAS RETURNED TO ITS ORIGINAL STATE.
126. I LAUGHED AT CURLING IN ALASKA WHEN I LIVED THERE.UNTIL BECOMING A MEMBER OF A TEAM. IT IS A GOOD WINTER ACTIVITY.
127. THIS ALL SOUNDS GOOD AND I'M SURE WOULD BENEFIT ESTES PARK, BUT I THINK ANY MONEY RAISED SHOULD FIRST GO TO OUR VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT. YOU TALK ABOUT RAISING MONEY FOR ALL THESE NEW PROJECTS, BUT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT SHOULD COME FIRST.
128. WE HAVE A CABIN IN GLEN HAVEN THAT WE USE OCASSIONALY BUT PROBABLY NOT ENOUGH TO SPEND MUCH TIME IN ESTES PARK TO USE THE FACILITY AT THE FAOROUNDS THAT YOU ARE CONTEMPLATING.
129. THIS IS FOR THE TOWN AND TOWN RESIDENTS. IT DOESN'T IN ANY WAY INTEREST ME. ITS YOUR PROJECT YOU PAY FOR IT IF APPROVED.
130. WOULD NOT USE FACILITIES OR ATTEND PROGRAMS
131. ABSOLUTELY. HORSES AND HORSE EVENTS ARE A PART OF EP HISTORY. THERE ARE LOTS OF EVENTS IN THE EQUINE INDUSTRY YOU CAN ATTRACT HERE.
132. SO STANLEY GAVE FAIRGROUNDS TO THE CITIZENS...AND HIS WISHES WOULD BE THAT A FEE BE CHARGED FOR THE FAIRGROUNDS USE TODAY?